

Attachment 1:

Further information requested under Section 92 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Contents

Planning / General..... 1

#	Category of information	Specific Request	Reasons for request
Planning / General			
P/G 1	Clarification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Developer Interests are addressed within Section 8.8.15 of the AEE – where they are summarised and limited to the Drury Centre project and the Drury South project. Commentary is then made that the project team cannot explicitly state that there are no other relevant developments within proximity to the Project. Appendix K does provide more detail - e.g: with regard to St Stephens. Has there been further work completed, since the time of writing, to identify whether there are any other relevant projects which may be affected, within proximity to the project and if so, could an update in this regard be provided? 	<p>To better understand the potential impact on consented projects, as part of the existing environment.</p> <p>Whilst the process continues for the Pukekohe NoRs (hearing held recently and at the time of writing it remains open), it is noted that a Land use Integration Process condition for the AT NoRs in Pukekohe was proposed - per the extract below.</p> <p>Land use Integration Process.</p> <p>The Requiring Authority shall set up a Land use Integration Process for the period between confirmation of the designation and the Start of Construction. The purpose of this process is to encourage and facilitate the integration of master planning and land use development activity on land directly affected or adjacent to the designation...</p>

#	Category of information	Specific Request	Reasons for request
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please describe how NZTA intends to provide for / integrate with consented development within the boundary or within proximity to the areas subject to the NoRs. • Also, how will NZTA deal with existing consented development where NZTA's projects may render the consented development non-complying or require the developer to make changes to its consented development. There may be costs to the consent holder resultant from changes. • Is the Public Works Act to be the primary avenue for assessment and relief with regard to the above matters? The proposed SCMP condition is noted. 	<p>Whilst it is acknowledged that a separate process is involved for subdivision and land use consents as opposed to that for the NoRs, by way of <u>one example</u>, it is noted that a section 224(c) application (CCT90113492-2) has been submitted for releasing titles of the sites created under the subdivision consent (SUB60383451-A) for 539 Fitzgerald Road, Drury 2578.</p> <p>One of the sites, Lot 154, located within the proposed boundary of NoR 5, has been constructed and planted as Super Green Outfall, which is required for managing public stormwater.</p> <p>Lot 154 is to be vested in Auckland Council as a drainage reserve on the survey title plan. Will Lot 154 be able to be used for public stormwater management?</p> <p>The AEE (or Appendix K) does not appear to discuss the subject site or its subdivision. It is unclear whether consultation has been undertaken with the subject landowner nor the outcome of any discussions.</p> <p>There may be other examples of development which has been progressed or consented which may be affected by the NoRs.</p> <p>The discussion within Section 10.11 of the AEE (and Appendix K) is noted. However, it would be useful for NZTA to provide an update with regard to consideration of other such situations – whereby consent holders are potentially affected by the project.</p>

#	Category of information	Specific Request	Reasons for request
P/G 2	Planning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Please confirm if any person or landowner or utility has at this stage, provided written approval or documented support, regarding the NoRs? 	<p>A record of written approval or support does not seem to have been mentioned in the AEE. There may be no written approvals.</p> <p>The reason for this request is to seek clarity in this regard.</p> <p>With regard to utilities, the discussion at Section 9.3.4 of the AEE regarding s176 approval being required from Transpower, the discussion at Section 10.10 of the AEE and the NUMP condition (etc) are noted.</p>
P/G 3	Planning – Mana whenua engagement and CIAs and CVAs	<p>The discussion at Section 10.12.2.1 provides discussion on Ngaati Whanaunga’s CIA.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The analysis at 10.12.1 of the AEE is noted but is there commentary or analysis that can be provided by NZTA regarding the CVA/CIAs from Ngāti Tamaoho, Ngāi Tai ki Tamaki and Ngāti Te Ata Waiohua, which were done for the wider corridor and SCI projects, which are specific to this project? Are these CIA/CVAs able to be provided and if so, could NZTA confirm if Mana Whenua who provided these CIA/CVAs are agreeable to these being made public, as part of notification? 	<p>To gain a broader appreciation of the views of the mana whenua specific to this project.</p>

#	Category of information	Specific Request	Reasons for request
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Also, since the time of writing (AEE) has supplementary or subsequent CIA/CVA documentation been provided, that is able to be forwarded to AC and if so would the Mana Whenua be agreeable to these being made public, as part of notification ? 	
P/G 4	Planning – Section 171(1)(d)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Other Matters – What update can be provided by NZTA with regard to the policy documents addressed in Section 11.1.1 in light of advances or changes since the time of writing? 	To assist with understanding the project in light of advances or changes to the documents described within 11.1.1, such as the Draft 2024 GPS and the FDS.